

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/023,161	12/18/2001	Coen Theodorus Hubertus Fransiscus Liedenbaum	NL000733	4667	
24737	7590 06/24/2005		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS IN	TELLECTUAL PRO	GUHARAY, KARABI			
P.O. BOX 30 BRIARCLIF	01 FMANOR, NY 1051	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2879		
		DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0
χ×

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary	10/023,16	1	LIEDENBAUM, COEN THEODORUS HUBERTUS FRA				
	Onice Action Gammary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Karabi Gul		2879				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation of Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no eve ply within the statu d will apply and wil ute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this coors (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	April 2005.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is no	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5 and 8-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5 and 10-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 8.9 and 15-20 is/are objected to.							
Applicat	ion Papers			•				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	•					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b)[\square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the B		-, ,		, ,			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document according to the priority document application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have beer nts have beer iority docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 or No(s)/Mail Date	8)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/023,161

Art Unit: 2879

Amendment, filed on 4/18/05 has been considered and entered.

Amendment of abstract overcomes the objection to the abstract.

Claim Objections

Claims 3 & 12 are objected to since claims 3 & 12 (which depend from claims 2 & 11 respectively) recites "a capillary reservoir", while claims 2 & 11 recite "a reservoir for adhesive", since applicant is referring to same reservoir in claims 2 & 3, and also for claims 11 & 12, claims 3 & 12 should read as "the capillary reservoir " and claims 2 & 11 should read "a capillary reservoir for adhesive". Appropriate corrections should be made.

Further claim 5 is objected to since claim 5 recites " a substantially flat substrate (2)" basically means one of the parts so the claim 5 should read as "one of the parts comprises a substantially flat substrate" (as the language used in claim 14) in order to avoid confusion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamasaki (US 3990782).

Regarding claim 1, Yamasaki discloses a device (liquid crystal display) comprising two parts (flat glass plates 13, 14 of Fig 1 & Fig 2), which defines a cavity Application/Control Number: 10/023,161

Art Unit: 2879

(internal volume or cell) for accommodating one liquid crystal film and which are sealed together by means of a thermosetting adhesive (epoxy sealing 12) present at the interface (see Fig 2) of the two parts (plates 13, 14), characterized in that at least one channel (capillary channel 21) is provided in the interface wherein the channel is open to the cell (lines 25-58 of column 2).

Regarding claim 2, Yamasaki discloses that a reservoir for the adhesive (filling port 23) is present at one end of the channel (lines 57-59 of column 2).

Regarding claim 3, Yamasaki discloses that the reservoir is outside the cavity (see Fig 3-5).

Regarding claim 4, Yamasaki discloses that the one of the parts (13, 14) has a substantially polygonal interface with the channel being located in one of the corners of the polygonal interface (see Fig 3-5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamasaki (US 3,990782).

Regarding claims 5, 10 & 14, Yamasaki discloses all the limitations of claim 5 or claim 10 (see rejection of claim 1) except for electroluminescent element in the cavity,

Application/Control Number: 10/023,161

Art Unit: 2879

and further Yamasaki does not explicitly disclose that the channel allows pressure inside the cavity to escape into the channel during manufacturing of the device.

However, capillary channel of Yamasaki will inherently act as a pressure relief for the cavity, since any excess liquid crystal material will flow through the channel during the process of manufacturing.

Further, it is well known that the EL elements are sealed in a cavity for protection from the environment. Thus it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide EL elements inside the cavity, instead of liquid crystal elements to form a sealed protected electroluminescent device.

Claims 11-13 recite essentially the same limitations of claims 2-4 respectively, thus claims are rejected as claims 2-4 respectively.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-9, & 15-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 8-9, & 15-20, the prior art of record neither shows nor suggest a channel provides a pressure relief for the thermosetting adhesive during manufacturing.

Regarding claim 15, the prior art of record neither shows nor suggest a channel formed in one of the parts together with other cited limitations.

Other Prior Art Cited

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

Application/Control Number: 10/023,161 Page 5

Art Unit: 2879

applicant's disclosure:

Toya et al. (US 6293843); Tanaka et al. (US 3926502); Onodera (JP 10-242315).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karabi Guharay whose telephone number is (571) 272-2452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karabi Guharay
Karabi Guharay
Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2879